

Question No	Date of Spedition	FAQ Set No	Question Type	Question Text	MA/JS Answer
26	30/8/2016	3	Call Documents	please check and advise me accordingly about the document (declaration of some kind) that Associated partners should sign and submit	An Associated partner has to submit the following: A. document 1. 'Partnership Declaration' and B. document 8. 'Decision of the designated body..' as described in detail in Art. 7 "How to apply -deadline" of the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project proposals, as well as C. All official documents providing evidence that the general eligibility requirements are met, as described in the same Art. 7 "How to apply -deadline". Note that for associated partners belonging to category 6c. the "Official document indicating the sources of the body's revenues, during the last two years preceding the presentation of the project proposal" is not needed.
27	31/8/2016	3	Call Documents	In the Programme and Project Manual.pdf in p. 60 the following is mentioned: The WP2 budget cannot be exceeded the 15% of the total project budget, without limits at beneficiary level. However in the file " Summary Budget Limits for the Call_xlsx.pdf " in the Application Package is mentioned that WP2: Information & Publicity Maximum 20% of the total project budget, without limits at partner level. Which one is valid, 15 or 20%? In addition in section B5- JUSTIFICATION OF BUDGET COST.xlsx flat rate issue in the staff costs has not been resolved	27.1 See answer to Question 24_FAQ Set No 2. 27.2 See the updated file uploaded in our www.greece-italy.eu and www.interreg.gr sites under the "News" Section and the "Application package".
28	31/8/2016	3	Project ideas	The University of Patras, in the framework of the 1st Call for Proposals of the Cooperation Programme Interreg V/A Greece-Italy (EL-IT) 2014-2020, intends to submit a proposal that will include actions/deliverables of common interest for all of its departments. The question posed is: a) whether this is feasible and b) and if yes, whether special requirements must be satisfied so as to submit a proposal of this type, such as a decision of the Senate of the University or the Rectors' Council etc?	In case of universities and research institutes the limitation set in Article 6 of the 1st Call for Ordinary Proposals, that each applicant can participate as Lead beneficiary in no more than one project proposal per specific objective, is considered per specific objective and per department. All documents of the applicant package along with the e-application form (<i>project detailed description</i>), must be signed by the legal representative of the beneficiary.
29	31/8/2016	3	Miscellaneous	a) the documents that an Associated Partner must be stamped and signed to participate in a project? b) the potential Associated Partner is located outside the Programme's eligible area and hasn't a body/beneficiary code, is it necessary to obtain one?	28.1 See answer to question 26_FAQ Set No3 28.2 If an Associated Partner does not have a body/beneficiary code it is necessary to obtain a code following the instructions of Chapter 1. Obtaining a body code, in the manual "User guide for completing the electronic application form"
30	31/8/2016	3	Project ideas	with reference to the IP 3a ' <i>Promoting entrepreneurship, in particular by facilitating the economic exploitation of new ideas and fostering the creation of new firms, including through business incubators</i> ', does the project proposals have to support the establishment of new business incubators on both sides of the Programme Area (that is one in Puglia AND another in Greece), or can the project partners decide to establish only one incubator in one of the eligible regions (in Puglia OR in Greece)?	The MA/JS cannot comment on project ideas as this forms part of projects' assessment and will be evaluated accordingly. It is the applicant's sole responsibility to determine the project content. In order to build your project idea please consult carefully the Programme document, Section "2.1.3. Project idea/proposal Development" of the Programme, the <i>Programme and Project Manual</i> and all call documents.
31	1/9/2016	3	Partner eligibility	I would very much appreciate if you inform me regarding potential applicants/beneficiaries of the Program, and more particularly whether there is eligibility for the Union of Hellenic Chambers (http://www.uhc.gr) to apply in partnership with beneficiaries from Italy. The Union of Hellenic Chambers is seated in Athens, Greece and its members are all of 59 Chambers of Greece on an equal basis, covering the entire country (and of course the regional units as set in the Call). Please consider that in case of allocation, the Union of Hellenic Chambers will implement the objectives of the Program exclusively within the regional units as indicated in the call for proposals. I would very much appreciate your prompt answer, provided that the deadline is short.	Each applicant participates in a Project proposal on its own account. In any case, the eligibility check of a potential Partner is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. In the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals you may find further details on eligibility of Partners and pre-conditions they must satisfy in order to be eligible. It is up to your entity to determine its legal status and see if it falls under categories a,b,c or d.

32	1/9/2016	3	Budget & Financing	I'm writing for ask a question about Infrastructural Projects in the 1st call of the Cooperation Programme Interreg V/A Greece - Italy (EL-IT) 2014-2020. Could you please tell me if there is any specific percentage of budget, which must not to be exceeded, for the infrastructural works in the total budget of the proposal?	According to the limitations set in the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals , art 8: Project size , there is a maximum total project budget established per Specific Objective depending on whether a proposal focuses on soft actions or infrastructure and works. There is however no specific percentage of the total budget set as a maximum upper limit for the "infrastructure & works" budget line.
33	1/9/2016 & 06/09.2016	3	MIS issues	is only the LB or all BPs required to send the form to obtain the body code and the password to access to the system? - could be sent both the documents (application form for a body code and new password application) at the same time? - are there any other compulsory documents in addition to those included in the application package that each partner has to upload (e.g. Establishment act, etc.)?	33.1 The LB and all Project Beneficiaries (including any Associated partners) must check if they already have a body/beneficiary code in the MIS system or if they are included in the attached list of bodies/beneficiaries. If any of them does not have a body code then it is necessary to follow the process to obtain one. The User login code is in principle essential for the LB as they are responsible for the proposal's submission but also PBs can obtain it , for further details please consult the manual "User guide for completing the electronic application form" . 33.2 As explained in the manual "User guide for completing the electronic application form" you first must obtain a body code and then you apply for a personal User Account , i.e you submit the new password application. 33.3 Yes, depending on specific case, there are additional compulsory documents that have to be submitted. All documents to be submitted per project proposal and per beneficiary type are set out in Art. 7 "How to apply - deadline" of the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals, please refer to it.
34	2/9/2016	3	Partner eligibility	With reference to the 1st Call Interreg Greece-Italy , the University of Bari Aldo Moro is a Body Governed by Public Law and is Represented Legally by the RECTOR. The University is articulated in various Research Departments with the same Fiscal Code and VAT number. Each Department is Headed by a Director, who is not the Legal Representative. Our question is: Can more than one Department participate as Lead Beneficiary in more than one project proposal per specific objective. For example Department X and Department Y can participate as lead beneficiary for the Specific Objective 1.1 Delivering innovation support service sand Developing clusters across borders to foster competitiveness ? Moreover, in the list of Registered Bodies for MIS there are two Departments already registered. Must the University be registered ONLY once ? or must each Department be registered ?	In case of universities and research institutes the limitation set in Article 6 of the 1st Call for Ordinary Proposals, that each applicant can participate as Lead beneficiary in no more than one project proposal per specific objective, is considered per specific objective and per department. All documents of the applicant package along with the e-application form (project detailed description), must be signed by the legal representative of the beneficiary. All entities must have one and unique body code.
35	5/9/2016	3	Call Documents	With regard to the documents to be attached to the final proposal , following an extract of article 7, page 9 of the call: "Infrastructure Projects (where infrastructure activities are foreseen in the AF) must include the relevant accompanying documents (licenses, approval of feasibility study or any other required approvals, construction permits, preliminary designs/studies, priced bill of quantities signed by the respective body, technical specifications, technical designs, a document certifying the land/building ownership or documentation of transferring the operation rights for the period of 5 years after the end of the project environmental impact assessment etc., where applicable), any other required approvals according to the National Legislation." For me it's not clear what's the documentation to be produced, both in Greece and in Italy. In fact, the list of documents given above , seems to be limited , not exhaustive. The questions are as follows : · It's possible define a list attachments to be produced ? · What are the terms of the relevant legislation of areas involved ? · Is it compulsory to produce the resolutions of the reference public bodies ?	35.1 The submission of documents that prove the readiness of project proposals with infrastructure interventions is mandatory as specified in the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals (page 9). There is no limitation on how many and which documents shall be submitted per proposal because the degree of readiness may be different among projects. The degree of readiness will be a subject of Project Evaluation. For further information, please refer to the project selection criteria in the Application Package and in particular to criterion B. 2.3.2. 35.2 The legislative framework of each applicant is different depending on the applicant nationality and the applicant type. In any case, EU laws, programme rules and national legislations must be respected per applicant type. 35.3 All the needed documents are described article 7 "How to apply -deadline" of the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project proposals.
36	5/9/2016	3	Call Documents	36.1 As far as the INTERREG Greece-Italy 2014-2020 is concerned, I would like to know whether there is a maximum number of Work Packages (WPs) in which a project can be divided. If so, can this number exceed 6 WPs? In fact, I could not find any mention of such a constraint in the Programme and Project Manual, apart from having a number of dedicated (default) packages; namely, WP1 for Management Costs, WP2 for Information and Publicity, and WP6 for Activities outside the eligible area. 36.2 More specifically, in case the project does not have any activities outside the eligible area, would it be possible to dedicate WP6 to other activities? 36.3 Besides, is there any limitation on the number of actions per WP?	36.1 A project proposal cannot exceed 6 WPs. 36.2 WPs 1,2 and 6 are exclusively dedicated to specific activities. WPs 1,2 are also obligatory while WP6 is completed only in case of a project having activities outside the eligible area. In case a Project does not have activities outside the eligible area then it may have three (3) thematic Work Packages (WP 3,4,5), if required, additional to the obligatory WPs 1 and 2. 36.3 A partner can participate in 30 Deliverables in total including the obligatory WPs (maximum 5 deliverables per WP).

37	7/9/2016	3	Budget & Financing	<p>In the Programme and Project manual at section 2.1.6.1.2. Management Costs (pg. 59) says that for the limit of Management Costs are not calculated the preparation and audit costs and "Any costs incurred for meeting and events and travel and accommodation of beneficiary's staff." I would like a clarification on the last one.</p> <p>It is clear that travel and accommodation are not. However staff costs, external and O/A costs which are related to a meeting are included or not?</p> <p>For example, if we have a deliverable "Project meetings" what does apply for the staff, external and O/A costs of this deliverable? Which cost categories shall be taken into account for the limit? The phrase "any costs" is bit confusing</p>	<p>As it is stated in the chapter 2.1.6.1.2 2.1.6.1.2. <i>Management Costs of PPM</i>..... Management Deliverables and thus the management costs should be included only in WP1 of the Application form and concern the cost related to the technical and administrative activities to be implemented for the efficient management and coordination of the project.</p> <p>The management costs of each project beneficiary (Work Package 1) should not exceed 10% of the total beneficiary's budget. For the Lead Beneficiary this percentage shall be limited to 15% of its budget.</p> <p>The following WP1 costs (if applicable) are not calculated in the percentage limit:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Deliverable 1 X 1 "Preparation Activities" (where X is the number of the beneficiary). - Any costs incurred for meeting and events and travel and accommodation of beneficiary's staff. - Any costs incurred for the external first level controller. The ceiling fee for external qualified controller should not exceed the 2% (VAT and social security included) of total beneficiary budget.....
38	7/9/2016	3	Miscellaneous	<p>At the Greece-Italy 2014-2020 site [1] states that a Partner Search Facility for the 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals is available.</p> <p>However a partner search tool at the first page of http://www.greece-italy.eu/ site is not operating.</p> <p>Can you please have a look at this, or let me know where I can find the aforementioned Partner Search Facility?</p>	<p>As indicated in our site "Beneficiaries may submit their project ideas through the Partner Idea Form and send it by email to: jts_grit@mou.gr. All submitted Project Ideas will be available per Priority Axis." All projects ideas will be uploaded in the website of the programme.</p>
39	8/9/2016	3	Miscellaneous	<p>I kindly ask you some information about the following issues about the 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals of the Cooperation Programme Interreg V-A "Greece-Italy 2014-2020"</p> <p>1- The forms regarding the proposal preparation to be submitted do not include the boxes related the activities of each WP. Main focus is given only to deliverables. Regarding the number of deliverables per each WP, we would like to know if there are not more 5 deliverables per WP, or there are not more 5 deliverables per each partner and per WP. (i.e. with five partners, for WPx, the maximum number of deliverables is 25).</p> <p>2- The readiness sheet is the new form instead than maturity sheet. Can you confirm that only the lead partner has to sign the readiness sheets? Do the partners have to complete them as well?</p> <p>3- The declaration of partners includes the signatures and stamps of the whole partnership. At this purpose, should all the signatures be in only one sheet, or can each partner put signature and stamp in separate sheets?</p> <p>4- in the User guide for completing the electronic application form it is strongly advised to take into consideration the intervention logic of the programme. Considering this document, the "Number of enterprises in NACE sections J and M" is the only indicator for Specific Objective 1.2 "Supporting the incubation of innovative specialized micro and small enterprises in thematic sectors of interest to the Programme Area". Can you confirm that a proposal with this specific objective will regard, as result indicator, only the enterprises in NACE sections J and M?</p>	<p>40.1 the maximum number of Deliverables per WP and per partner is 5. For example, if you have activities outside the eligible area then you may have maximum 6WPs in total and thereby maximum 30 deliverables per partner.</p> <p>40.2 The 'Project Readiness Sheet'(standard form provided), is completed, stamped and signed by the Lead beneficiary, as indicated in the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals (art. 7) and obviously must include information for all partners involved in the project.</p> <p>40.3 As indicated in the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals (art. 7) "Regarding the "Partnership Declaration" (point 2), and after updating the relative document, the the JS shall accept the signature of each beneficiary on a separate page."</p> <p>40.4 In order to confirm the Programme indicators please consult the "Programme document 2014 - 2020" in our site www.greece-italy.eu, under the " News" Section page 3.</p>
40	9/9/2016	3	Partner eligibility	<p>In the framework of the 1st Call of the European Cooperation Programme Interreg "Greece – Italy 2014-2020", I would like to ask you a question regarding Partners that are outside the eligible area, but have a subsidiary/ branch within the Programme area. That condition makes this subsidiary/ branch eligible, even if it DOES NOT have its own VAT number? Please, if possible, explain to us this detail regarding the VAT number mutuality.</p>	<p>In Art. 6 "Eligible Partnerships" of the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals, the eligibility of local/regional subsidiary/branch offices is not made dependent upon whether the branch has its own VAT or not. In particular as it is stated in In Art. 7 "How to apply - deadline" the existence of a branch within the Programme area is documented with at least one of the following documents to be electronically submitted through the MIS (digital version):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ☑ Official document for the establishment/registration of a branch issued by the competent Public Authority (e.g. Tax Service of the respective country) ☑ Copy of the rental contract, submitted to and validated by the respective Public Authority (e.g. Tax Service of the respective country) ☑ Payroll sheet, validated by the competent Public Authority (e.g. Ministry of Labour) ☑ Operational costs bills (e.g. electricity, telephone etc.)

41	9/9/2016	3	Partner eligibility	<p>Bearing in mind that the MA/JS cannot comment on the eligibility of a specific potential beneficiary and that the eligibility of beneficiaries will be part of projects' assessment, we would like to get some clarifications about a given Regional Park established almost 10 years ago by a regional law (Legge Regionale Puglia). The park lies within the eligible areas of the Call and it complies with the criteria set by the Call for the bodies governed by public law (public funds, management supervision, boards). The Park is participated by public entities only (one Province and 2 Municipalities) according to a public agreement among them - as requested by the law. This agreement does not foreseen that the Park should register with a new Fiscal Code (codice fiscale), so that the park is using the fiscal code of the major shareholder (a municipality). The questions are:</p> <p>1) could the park participate as an independent and proper "project beneficiary"?</p> <p>2) could the park participate as an independent and proper "lead beneficiary"? In this second case (LP), would this effect in any way the right of the Municipality to be LP for a different project in the same specific objective?</p>	<p>42.1 Each applicant participates in a Project proposal on its own account. In any case, the eligibility check of a potential Partner is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. In the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals you may find further details on eligibility of Partners and pre-conditions they must satisfy in order to be eligible. If the Park conforms to the eligibility criteria as set out in Art. 6 "Eligible Partnerships" it may of course participate.</p>
42	9/9/2016	3	Miscellaneous	<p>I am writing you because i need some clarification about some things about the new Greece Italy Call. I will reassume all of them in this email and I will thank you in advance for you answering .</p> <p>1) BODY CODE I am supporting a Local Municipality in a proposal development without a Body Code and as requested by the manual i am going to fill the related application form. Unfortunately it's not clear for me how i have to fill it and the manual image is quite blurry. Can i have some tips from you ? Moreover the Body Code Application form needs to be signed and stamped ?</p> <p>2) Decision of the designated body of each Project Beneficiary According to the Terms of Reference each beneficiary has to submit this document before the approval of MC . Does it mean before the final project evaluation ? Moreover where should it be sent ? I don't understand if it can be uploaded in the application form also after the project submission.</p> <p>3)ASSOCIATED Associated must be similar to the Beneficiary ? private organisations, as profit organizations could be allowed ?</p> <p>4) PROJECT READINESS SHEET In the B6 Project readiness sheet in the Preparatory Actions section i need to explain the preparatory actions for the application form development or for the project development ?</p>	<p>43.1 Please download the " Greece - Italy: New versions of MIS user guide and supporting documents" to be found under the "News" Section in our sites (www.interreg.gr & www.greece-italy.eu). If you read the manual, you will see that the "Application form for a body code" does not need to be stamped and signed. The "Application form for user login" must be stamped and signed both by the legal representative and the applicant.</p> <p>43.2 The Decision of the designated body of each Project Beneficiary can be submitted before the MC approves for funding the evaluated project proposals. The submission will be electronic.</p> <p>43.3 The general criteria for beneficiaries' eligibility apply to Associated partners as well.</p> <p>43.4 In the "Preparatory Actions" Section of the B6 Project readiness sheet you must refer to any activities that took place so as to allow project development.</p>
43	9/9/2016	3	Miscellaneous	<p>I am contacting you from Aitoliki Development Agency S.A. and I would like to know how I can make a partner search through the Interreg Greece – Italy website. I see that the website provides only the partner search form and that there is no tool/platform available for partner search. Please inform me so as to know how to proceed with finding a partner with a similar idea with ours (PA 2).</p>	<p>See answer to Question 39_FAQ Set No 3</p>
44	9/9/2016	3	Call Documents	<p>following the phone conversation with the offices of the Apulia Region, this university kind asks for some clarifications about the presentation of project proposals under the INTERREG IT-GR program. Particularly, our request of clarification concerns the subscription procedures of project documents:</p> <p>1) if the University is the leader, project documents and the form must be signed by the legal representative (Rector) or can be signed by a delegate according with internal regulations of the University (in this case each department head is authorized by the Rector, this general mandate is approved by the Board of Directors, to subscribe project proposal document);</p> <p>2) if the University is only a partner, the relevant documents can be signed only by the directors of the departments or, even those, must be signed by our legal representative (rector).</p>	<p>All project documents must be stamped and signed by the Legal Representative of the beneficiary , independently of whether the beneficiary is LB or PB.</p>

45	12/9/2016	3	Partner eligibility	<p>I forward you a question posed by an Academic Member of Staff of the Ionian University regarding the participation of an entity in a project partnership that is located outside the eligible Programme area and does not have a branch. The participation of this entity is crucial for the physical implementation of the project. The entity has a nation wide scope and is the Ephorate of Underwater Antiquities, which is located in Athens and has regional branches in Thessaloniki and Heraklion. The offices operating in the Regional Units of Thessaloniki and Heraklion exercise all competences of the Ephorate departments within the boundaries of their territories. Τα Γραφεία που λειτουργούν στις Περιφερειακές Ενότητες Θεσσαλονίκης και Ηρακλείου ασκούν το σύνολο των αρμοδιοτήτων των τμημάτων της Εφορείας κατά τόπο στα όρια των περιοχών τους. Is it possible to ensure the active participation of the aforementioned entity in a project proposal?</p>	<p>The eligibility of a partnership is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. The MA/JS cannot comment on the eligibility of beneficiaries or the composition of partnerships as these form part of projects' assessment. It is the applicant's sole responsibility to determine its own status and eligibility so as to apply for funding. Organisations located outside the Programme's eligible area are encouraged to be involved in the projects as associated partners without allocated budget</p>
46	12/9/2016	3	Partner eligibility	<p>As you may already know the Centre for Renewable Energy Sources and Saving (CRES) is the Greek national entity for the promotion of renewable energy sources, rational use of energy and energy conservation. Throughout this role CRES has participated in several territorial and cross-border cooperation projects, like "G.A.LE.T. - Greece-Albania 2007-2013", "ALTERENERGY - IPA Adriatic 2007-2013", "ΕΝΕΡΓΕΙΝ - Greece - Cyprus 2007-13» providing its extensive technical expertise for the successful implementation of these projects. In the frame of the new Cooperation Programme Interreg V-A "Greece-Italy 2014-2020" and under the 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals (Ref. No: 300885/MA3598), CRES is intending to participate to the submission of relevant proposal in close cooperation with Region of Epirus among others. During the proposal preparation a major concern about the eligibility of our participation has been arisen and therefore we would like to kindly ask you the following: 1) Would it be possible for CRES to participate in a project as a full partner taking into consideration that our organization activities and support cover all Greek area (even if CRES location is outside the eligible area)? 2) If not, would it be possible for CRES to support Region of Epirus and Region of Ionian Islands through a suitable framework agreement (προγραμματική σύμβαση) taking into account that the new Law 4409/2016 clearly allows CRES to contract framework agreements with other public sector bodies and public utilities (DEKOs) in the frame of the law 4342/2015? Specifically, Article 50 of the Law 4409/2016 provides an addition to Article 26 of Law 4342/2015 according to which: "4. The Centre for Renewable Energy Sources and Saving (CRES), in the frame of its objectives and for the implementation of the actions referred in Paragraph 2, may contract framework agreements with the public or public sector bodies of par. 6/article 1/Law 1264/1982, as applicable, as well as with DEKO of Chapter A'/Law 3569/2005, according to the provisions of paragraphs 2A and 3 of article 100/Law 3893/2010, with a corresponding representation in their budgets. (attached are the two relevant laws for your consideration).</p>	<p>1. The eligibility of a partnership is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. The MA/JS cannot comment on the eligibility of beneficiaries or the composition of partnerships as these form part of projects' assessment. It is the applicant's sole responsibility to determine its own status and eligibility so as to apply for funding. Organisations located outside the eligible area can participate under the following conditions. 1. In case the organization is a national body (category a), 2. In case the organization is under category b or c and have branch in the eligible area. In all other cases Organisations located outside the eligible area are encouraged to participate as associated partner.</p>
47	12/9/2016	3	Partner eligibility	<p>we would like to know if more municipalities can participate as associated for the call greece italy. I mean, if they declare to associate each other (italian legislation permits this procedure)* can participate all together as one partner? If yes what kind of administrative documents you need?</p>	<p>51.1 Each applicant participates in a Project proposal on its own account. In any case, the eligibility check of a potential Partner is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. In the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals you may find further details on eligibility of Partners and pre-conditions they must satisfy in order to be eligible. Note that according to the Programme and Project Manual "Bodies governed by public law (under category b. above) and private organisations (under category c. above) must be operational for at least 12 months before the launching of the call for proposals. If a body governed by public law or a private organization is participating through a branch located at the Programme area, the branch must be operational for at least 12 months before the launching of the call for proposals". 51.2 All the needed documents are described article 7 "How to apply -deadline" of the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project proposals.</p>
48	13/9/2016	3	Budget & Financing	<p>In the Info days held in Patras and Corfu it was mentioned that there is a 4% limit in the Office and Administration costs regardless of their estimation mode. In the Project Manual it is mentioned that this 4% limit applies to flat rate costs only. Which one is true?</p>	<p>The office and administration costs for each Beneficiary cannot exceed 4% of the total Beneficiary's budget, regardless of the calculation option selected. MA has already uploaded updated version of the <i>Programme an project manual</i></p>

49	14/9/2016	3	Project ideas	<p>We have read the 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals and would like to be an "Associated Partner" to a proposal that is in Priority Axis 1 (Innovation and Competitiveness) with the SO 1.1. (Delivering Innovation support services and developing clusters across borders o foster competitiveness). As we read the Table 2 for the Output Indicators we do not understand the program aspect.</p> <p>Our question is. The proposal must deliver in the same time two objectives 1. Delivering Innovation support services and 2. developing clusters across borders o foster competitiveness ? Or one of them? The proposal must have to develop a clusters?</p> <p>Please explain to us the above so we can be sure if our organization can support the proposal.</p>	<p>In chapter 2.A.5 (<i>Specific objectives corresponding to the investment priority and expected results</i>) and in chapter 2.A.6 (<i>Actions to be supported under the investment priority</i>) of the programming document, you can find the results that the Member States seek to achieve with Union support and types and examples of actions and expected contribution to the specific objectives</p>
50	14/9/2016	3	Miscellaneous	<p>I am contacting you in order to request some clarification regarding the 1st Call For Ordinary Project Proposals Interreg V/A Greece-Italy 2014-2020. Please find the requests below:</p> <p>1) Regarding the Work Package 6 "Activity Outside the Programme Area", we would like to have some details: Which kind of costs are eligible? (i.e. travel and accomadation; external expertise; equipments etc) If some activities are implemented in the Programme Area, but they are strongly preparatory to the activities located outside the Programme Area (i.e. regarding printing materials to be disseminated outside, the external expertise in order to prepare the management of the activities going to be implemented outside), should their costs be under WP6?</p> <p>2) Regarding the result indicator R0502 in intervention logic, only the NACE sections J and M are mentioned. Are you going to include new sections?</p>	<p>1. Eligibility rules applies to all expenditures regardless the WP in which they will be declared. Therefore all budget lines are applied to WP6 also. All activities that physically implemented outside the Programme area are considered as activities outside the programme area. 2. Regarding the result indicator R0502, it is clear and explicit. <i>Number of enterprises in NACE sections J and M</i></p>
51	14/9/2016	3	Partner eligibility	<p>I am writing on behalf of Associazione Borghi Autentici d'Italia, an Italian no profit Association that gathers more than 220 small and medium sized Municipalities all around Italy.</p> <p>We would like to be partner in a project proposal under the GRE-ITA call for ordinary projects; since our legal address is located outside the Programme area, and considered that we have a registered office located in a Municipality in Apulia Region (in the Programme eligible area), which kind of documentation do we have to provide to give evidence of this last registered office?</p> <p>This would allow us to participate as full partner with allocated budget in the framework of the total project budget?</p>	<p>In art 7 of the <i>1st call for ordinary project proposals</i> it is stated.....<i>the existence of a branch within the Programme area is documented with at least one of the following documents to be electronically submitted through the MIS (digital version):</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -<i>Official document for the establishment/registration of a branch issued by the competent Public Authority (e.g. Tax Service of the respective country)</i> -<i>Copy of the rental contract, submitted to and validated by the respective Public Authority (e.g. Tax Service of the respective country)</i> - <i>Payroll sheet, validated by the competent Public Authority (e.g. Ministry of Labour)</i> - <i>Operational costs bills (e.g. electricity, telephone etc.)</i> <p><i>For bodies governed by public law (of article 6.b above) that have been established by a Law or Presidential Decree, the submission of a copy of the respective Official Government Gazette where the establishment of the branch is being mentioned is sufficient.</i></p> <p><i>In any case, the documents above must provide evidence that the branch was established and in operation for the period required by the call under each type of beneficiary/category of beneficiary.</i></p>
52	14/9/2016	3	Miscellaneous	<p>as far as concern the preparation costs, I would like to know the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - which is the final date for the realisation of preparation costs? <p>I mean that is mandatory that the documents that states the expenditures for preparation costs (payslips, invoices, receipts and so on) have to be dated from 1st of January 2014 within 15th of October 2016?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - which is the final date for the payment of preparation costs? <p>I mean that is it mandatory that the preparation costs have to be paid by the beneficiary within the deadline of the call (15th of October 2016)? Or can the beneficiary pay them also after the deadline of the call?</p> <p>Moreover, as far as concerns the phase named "Preparation of the Subsidy Contract" as stated at page 63 of the Programme and Project Manual, can these costs (linked to adjustments of the Application form and preparation of all the necessary documentation required by the Call for proposals for the signature of the Subsidy Contract, together with the realistic action plan) be included in Preparation costs? Or are they linked exclusively to WP1 Management Costs and therefore to a different deliverable that is not deliverable for preparation costs?</p>	<p>As it is stated in chapter 2.1.6.1.1. Preparation Costs of the PPM, "<i>.....Costs within the budget lines "staff costs, travel and accommodation costs and external expertise and services", which have been incurred for the preparation of the project, are eligible for funding in accordance to the following conditions:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - <i>If the services were invoiced or activities were implemented according to the national legislations, as of 1st January 2014. The related payments should have been actually made after 1st of January 2014 and/or during the implementation of the project. The related payments should have been actually made preferably in the first request for verification.</i> - <i>If they show direct connection to the approved project and are included in the application form.;</i> - <i>If they do not exceed the amount of 40.000€ at project level...."</i>

53	14/9/2016	3	Call Documents	<p>which kind of documents must the associated partner sign? Must the associated partner be included in the Partnership declaration?</p>	<p>An Associated partner has to submit the following: A. document 1. 'Partnership Declaration' and B. document 8. 'Decision of the designated body..' as described in detail in Art. 7 "How to apply -deadline" of the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project proposals, as well as C. All official documents providing evidence that the general eligibility requirements are met, as described in the same Art. 7 "How to apply -deadline". Note that for associated partners belonging to category 6c. the "Official document indicating the sources of the body's revenues, during the last two years preceding the presentation of the project proposal" is not needed.</p>
54	14/9/2016	3	Call Documents	<p>as far as concerns "registration number" indicated in the document "State Aid Declaration", and in particular, for Greek partners that do not have this number, but that have VAT number, maybe is the registration number equal to VAT or not?</p>	<p>Please clarify the exact point in the document "state aid declaration"</p>
55	15/9/2016	3	Call Documents	<p>We would like to know if the associate partner has to submit the same documents of the project beneficiaries as provided in Article 7 B). Have the associated partner to have a beneficiary code?</p>	<p>An Associated partner has to submit the following: A. document 1. 'Partnership Declaration' and B. document 8. 'Decision of the designated body..' as described in detail in Art. 7 "How to apply -deadline" of the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project proposals, as well as C. All official documents providing evidence that the general eligibility requirements are met, as described in the same Art. 7 "How to apply -deadline". Note that for associated partners belonging to category 6c. the "Official document indicating the sources of the body's revenues, during the last two years preceding the presentation of the project proposal" is not needed. All beneficiaries must have a beneficiary code.</p>
56	16/9/2016	3	Partner eligibility	<p>I am in charge as technical assistance for Apulia Film Commission for the submission of a project under the 1st call of Greece - Italy. We involved Greek Film Center (http://www.gfc.gr/index.php?lang=el) in the project proposal they are a body governed by public law but they cannot be beneficiary considering that they are located in Athens and don't have a branch office in the programme area ... This is the question: according with your experience ... considering that Greek Film Center is totally controlled by the Ministry of the Culture, if we involve the Ministry as project partner, for you, could be possible a commitment "in - house" of some project activities from the Ministry to Greek Film Center?</p>	<p>Each applicant participates in a Project proposal on its own account. In any case, the eligibility check of a potential Partner is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. In the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals you may find further details on eligibility of Partners and pre-conditions they must satisfy in order to be eligible. It is up to your entity to determine its legal status and see if it falls under categories a,b,c or d. Ministries, as national bodies are under category a. The MA/JS cannot comment on the eligibility of future activities in the implementation phase. You can also read chapter 2.1.6. <i>Project Budget - Eligibility of expenditure of the Programme and project manual</i> document.</p>
57	16/9/2016	3	Miscellaneous	<p>1) can "equivalent public body" be Lead Partner of a project? 2) can organizations with only a branch office located in the cooperation area be Lead Partner?</p>	<p>In art. 6 "Eligible Partnerships" of the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals, it is stated that "<i>The International Organizations as well as the private bodies cannot assume the Leadership of the projects</i>". There is no limitation for beneficiaries under categories a and b.</p>
58	16/9/2016	3	Miscellaneous	<p>Regarding the 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals under C.P. Interreg V/A Greece – Italy, I would like to ask in which cases/potential partners does the "de minimis" rule apply.</p>	<p>In document <i>B7-STATE AID DECLARATION</i> of the <i>Applicant package</i> it is stated *Definition of undertaking An entity which exercises an activity of an economic nature and which offers goods and services in competition (actual or potential) with other operators active in the market, carrying out activities of an economic nature, devoted to the production and marketing of goods and services in the market, regardless of its legal status and whether its aim is to make profit or not. An undertaking can be a public body, a NGO, an association, a university, a private firm, etc. Note that in many cases local public or administrative bodies may be considered to be similar to undertakings..... In Chapter 2.1.5.1.3 <i>State aid of programme and project manual</i> you can find analytical information</p>