

Question No	Date of Spedition	Question Type	<div><div></div></div>	MA/JS Answer
			Question Text	
59	16/9/2016	Partner eligibility	I have two questions: 1) can "equivalent public body" be Lead Partner of a project? 2) can organizations with only a branch office located in the cooperation area be Lead Partner?	1) Yes. According to art. 6 of the "1st Call for ordinary project proposals" the only limitation regarding the leadership of the partnership is "...The International Organizations as well as the private bodies cannot assume the Leadership of the projects....". 2) The abovementioned text of the call, applies to this question, also.
60	16/9/2016	Partner eligibility	We involved Greek Film Center ( <a href="http://www.gfc.gr/index.php?lang=el">http://www.gfc.gr/index.php?lang=el</a> ) in the project proposal .... they are a body governed by public law but they cannot be beneficiary considering that they are located in Athens and don't have a brach office in the programme area ... This is the question: according with your experience ... considering that Greek Film Center is totally controlled by the Ministry of the Culture, if we involve the Ministry as project partner, for you, could be possible a commitment "in - house" of some project activities from the Ministry to Greek Film Center?	Each applicant participates in a Project proposal on its own account. In any case, the eligibility check of a potential Partner is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. In the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals you may find further details on eligibility of Partners and pre-conditions they must satisfy in order to be eligible. It is up to your entity to determine its legal status and see if it falls under categories a,b,c or d.
61	16/9/2016	Partner eligibility	Πρόκειται να κάνουμε μια πρόταση για το ελαιόλαδο, την ελιά και τα απόβλητα, συνέχεια μιας άλλης πρότασης που έχει υλοποιηθεί, του BIO-OLEA και θα ήθελα να ρωτήσω αν η ένωση αγροτικών συνεταιρισμών Κέρκυρας είναι eligible partner ή θα μπορούσε να μπει ως associated partner.	Each applicant participates in a Project proposal on its own account. In any case, the eligibility check of a potential Partner is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. In the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals you may find further details on eligibility of Partners and pre-conditions they must satisfy in order to be eligible. It is up to your entity to determine its legal status and see if it falls under categories a,b,c or d.
62	16/9/2016	Partner eligibility	Hello, our company Promoter srl works in cooperation with the Italian Ministry of Economic Development. We work with the Ministry in EU's projects and proposals. We're considering to present a proposal under 1st CALL FOR ORDINARY PROJECT PROPOSALS - Cooperation Programme Interreg V/A Greece-Italy (EL-IT) 2014-2020 leaded by the University of Patras. Reading the Programme and Project Manual we understood that the Ministry is eligible because it has a regional branch office in Puglia, located in Bari. This is an "ISPETTORATO TERRITORIALE", with not financial and economic autonomy. My question is: is it eligible the central headquarters in Rome or only the regional office in Puglia? Does the Ministry participate to the proposal considering that it has a regional branch office in the Programme Area? Please find below the reference on the "Programme and Project Manual". However if a beneficiary is located outside the Programme area and has a local/regional subsidiary/branch office established in the programme area, it will receive full ERDF funding financing Activities located outside the Programme area.  If the answer is YES, how can I add the MISE central headquarters in Rome to the Application?	As it is forseen in art 6 and 7 of the <i>1st Call for ordinary project proposals</i> , national authorities don't need to have a branch office in the programme area in order to participate in a project proposal. Each applicant participates in a Project proposal on its own account. In any case, the eligibility check of a potential Partner is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. In the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals you may find further details on eligibility of Partners and pre-conditions they must satisfy in order to be eligible. It is up to your entity to determine its legal status and see if it falls under categories a,b,c or d.
63	21/9/2016	Partner eligibility	With regard to the new open 1st CALL FOR ORDINARY PROJECT PROPOSALS on the Cooperation Programme Interreg V/A Greece-Italy (EL-IT) 2014-2020, we would like to ask a clarification on the partnership eligibility criteria. RAM is a public entity acting as implementing body of the Italian Ministry of Infrastructure and Transport, specifically delegated for the deployment of the Motorways of the Sea Programme in Italy. As such, RAM participates to the EU cofinanced direct (CEF, Horizon, COSME etc....) and indirect programmes. By the way, we participated to several European territorial cooperation programmes as Adrion, IPA Adriatic, MED programme, Central Europe. In all of these programmes the eligibility criteria requires the partners to be located on the eligible programme areas. Nevertheless the call for proposals usually allowed the participation of “assimilated entities”, defined as “those public authorities or bodies governed by public law which are competent in their scope of action for certain parts of the eligible area but which are located outside of it (e.g.: Ministries) are considered as assimilated partners, with equal rights and obligations to applicants located within the programme area.” Considering that, I would like to kindly ask you if the reference stated in pag. 7 of the ToR “In principle to become a project beneficiary, an organisation has to be located (decisive is the legal address of the organisation) in the eligible area of the Programme. Organisations located outside the Programme's eligible area are encouraged to be involved in the projects as associated partners without allocated budget. However if a beneficiary is located outside the Programme area and has a local/regional subsidiary/branch office established in the programme area, it will receive full ERDF funding” could allow RAM to be an eligible partner (with related budget) on some proposals that we are developing on the Axis 3 of the above mentioned call for proposal. Our legal address is in Rome and we don’t have any branches in the eligible areas. However, we would be “competent in our scope of action for certain parts of the eligible area”.	Each applicant participates in a Project proposal on its own account. In any case, the eligibility check of a potential Partner is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. In the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals you may find further details on eligibility of Partners and pre-conditions they must satisfy in order to be eligible. It is up to your entity to determine its legal status and see if it falls under categories a,b,c or d.
64	22/9/2016	Partner eligibility	The Department of Civil Engineering from University of Patras is interested in the 1st call of ordinary project proposals, but we have an inquiry regarding the eligibility of partners. We are considering as a project beneficiary the Union of Hellenic Chambers of Commerce that is located in Athens, outside the Programme area. However, UHCC members are 59 Hellenic Chambers including Chamber of Achaia, Chamber of Ileia and Chamber of Zakynthos, which are based inside the eligible programme area. Could the UHCC be considered as eligible partner in order to receive full ERDF funding? In previous partnerships we worked with a Ministry in Greece which has its headquarters in Athens but there were divisions in the eligible area and no problem was created. Could the same be applied in this particular situation?	Each applicant participates in a Project proposal on its own account. In any case, the eligibility check of a potential Partner is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. In the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals you may find further details on eligibility of Partners and pre-conditions they must satisfy in order to be eligible. It is up to your entity to determine its legal status and see if it falls under categories a,b,c or d. Body entities under category b and c should prove the existence of branch in programme area.
65	23/9/2016	Budget Financing	I am writing as the research delegate for the University of Salento in Lecce. In my university, several colleagues are preparing proposals for the 1st CALL FOR ORDINARY PROJECT PROPOSALS and I am following the process. I indirectly received you communication that the limit of one proposal as lead beneficiary for each applicant is for specific objective and per department which is good since more requests came from different departments and they will be able to participate. Now I have a question on the eligible costs since I have large experience with H2020 calls but much less with INTERREG. From your guidelines, I read that the staff costs can be calulated either as up to 20% of flat or on a real cost basis. If I understand correctly staff costs should not be the major cost for the project. Is it true? Some colleague told me about their necessity to run some activities which require a considerable amount of time effort. If the staff costs should remain low, should them in this case take advantage of "External expertise and services" subcontracting these activities or there are other options ? & One last question. I heard that it is possible that the deadline will be postponed. Is this true ? and in this case when it will be official?	The allocation of project budget among the budget lines is depended of the nature of the project. There is no ceiling for staff costs under the real cost methodology. Apart from this, MA/JS cannot comment on how activities will be implemented. the deadline for the submission of Project Proposals under the 1st Call for Ordinary Projects has been extended. The new deadline is 30 November 2016.
66	23/9/2016	Partner eligibility	I would ask if ISPRA The Italian National Institute for Environmental Protection and Research is elegible for the 1°Call Greece-Italy? The Insitute will be absolute necessary for the action proposed in the Project.	MA/JS cannot comment on the eligibility of beneficiaries. Each applicant participates in a Project proposal on its own account. In any case, the eligibility check of a potential Partner is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. In the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals you may find further details on eligibility of Partners and pre-conditions they must satisfy in order to be eligible. It is up to your entity to determine its legal status and see if it falls under categories a,b,c or d.

67	23/9/2016	Call Documents	<p>I am preparing a project draft to submit for the Interreg Greece-Italy 2014-2020 and I need of some clarifications, particularly:</p> <p>1. Expenses relative to participation to national (or international) conferences in locations outside the eligible areas have to be considered “Information &amp; publicity” costs or, since the locations are outside of the area, they have to be included in the specific WP of activities outside eligible area?</p> <p>2. the file for budget cost downloaded from your website (named: B5 - JUSTIFICATION OF BUDGET COST1.1) got some bugs, for instance:</p> <p>a. in the sheet Cover page is not possible to write a long title because it is available only 1 row and is not possible to add others rows; b. I cannot change the name of the WP for activities outside the programme area (cell G34) c. in the sheet Budget check is not possible to report the "staff cost calculation method" and the "Office and administration (O&amp;A) Costs Calculation Method" because it appears the message #RIF! and is not possible to change anything as we need a password.</p> <p>looking forward to hearing from you,</p>	<p>1) As it is stated in chapter 2.1.6.1.4. <i>Financing Activities located outside the Programme area</i> of the <i>Programme and project manual</i> , "...All activities implemented outside the Programme area should be described in a single dedicated WP 6 in the Application form..."</p> <p>2) A new version of B5 - JUSTIFICATION OF BUDGET COST document is elaborated and its going to be uploaded soon.</p>
68	26-Σεπ	Partner eligibility	<p>Αναφορικά με την 1η Πρόσκληση υποβολής προτάσεων για το διασυνοριακό πρόγραμμα Ελλάδα –Ιταλία, θα θέλαμε να σας ρωτήσουμε σχετικά με την επιλεξιμότητα της Κεντρικής Ένωσης Δήμων Ελλάδας (ΚΕΔΕ) ως εταίρος ή/και επικεφαλής εταίρος. Σύμφωνα και με το Π.Δ. 75 (ΦΕΚ 182Α΄ 22/8/2011) φαίνεται ξεκάθαρα η νομική μορφή, τα μέλη και ο σκοπός της ΚΕΔΕ (μερικά αποσπάσματα αναφέρονται πιο κάτω) :.....Δεδομένου ότι η ΚΕΔΕ έχει υποβάλλει προτάσεις ως εταίρος η/και επικεφαλής εταίρος (στον κανόνα 20%) και στα άλλα διασυνοριακά που έχουν προηγηθεί προσκλήσεις ως «body governed by public law» και σύμφωνα με τα παραπάνω, θα θέλαμε να μας επιβεβαιώσετε τη δυνατότητα συμμετοχής της ΚΕΔΕ και στην 1η Πρόσκληση υποβολής προτάσεων για το διασυνοριακό πρόγραμμα Ελλάδα –Ιταλία ως εταίρος η/και επικεφαλής εταίρος και όχι ως associated partner.</p>	<p>Each applicant participates in a Project proposal on its own account. In any case, the eligibility check of a potential Partner is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. In the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals you may find further details on eligibility of Partners and pre-conditions they must satisfy in order to be eligible. It is up to your entity to determine its legal status and see if it falls under categories a,b,c or d.</p> <p>Body entities under category b and c should prove the existence of branch in programme area.</p>
69	27-Σεπ	Partner eligibility	<p>Μπορείς να με ενημερώσεις εάν η ΜΚΟ Γεώραμα, με συμμετοχή και ολοκλήρωση ,άνω των 10 Ευρωπαϊκών έργων , μπορεί να είναι LEADER στο Ελλάδα-Ιταλία?</p>	<p>It depends on beneficiary's category (a,b,c or d). According to art. 6 of the "<i>1st Call for ordinary project proposals</i>" the only limitation regarding the leadership of the partnership is "...<i>The International Organizations as well as the private bodies cannot assume the Leadership of the projects....</i>".</p>
70	28-Σεπ	Project ideas	<p>Αγαπητέ κ. Σίμο,</p> <p>Σε συνέχεια συζήτησής μας κατά την Ημερίδα στην Πάτρα (6.9.2016) και προκειμένου να υποβάλουμε αίτημα ένταξης αρχαιολογικού έργου, θα θέλαμε να επαναφέρουμε το ερώτημα σχετικά με το χρονοδιάγραμμα και τις απαιτούμενες εγκρίσεις.</p> <p>Συγκεκριμένα, όπως έχουμε ενημερώσει και την Κεντρική Διοίκηση του ΥΠΠΟΑ, το έργο προς υποβολή είναι η δημιουργία Υπαίθριου Μουσείου Αρχιτεκτονικής στον αύλειο χώρο του Αρχ. Μουσείου Πατρών με στόχο να δοθεί έμφαση στα αρχιτεκτονικά ευρήματα από τη ρωμαϊκή Πάτρα και να αξιοποιηθεί το φυσικό περιβάλλον του Μουσείου, με περαιτέρω υψηλή εκπαιδευτική σημασία για τη διδασκαλία φοιτητών.</p> <p>Για την άρτια στήριξη των μελών θα κατασκευαστούν υποδομές με αντισεισμική πρόβλεψη και κατάλληλες μηχανικές ιδιότητες.</p> <p>Εταίροι μας στο project θα είναι το Πολυτεχνείο Bari και η Αποκεντρωμένη Διοίκηση Δυτ. Ελλάδας.</p> <p>Θα θέλαμε να μάς διευκρινίσετε εάν ο χρόνος έγκρισης της μελέτης από το Κεντρικό Αρχαιολογικό Συμβούλιο υπολογίζεται εκτός της διετίας στην οποία πρέπει να υλοποιηθεί το έργο.</p> <p>Επισημαίνουμε ότι η αρχαιολογική/μουσειογραφική μελέτη εκπονείται ήδη από το δυναμικό της Εφορείας, ενώ η στατική αρχιτεκτονική μελέτη θα εκπονηθεί ακολούθως από το Πολυτεχνείο του Bari.</p> <p>Εφ' όσον πρόκειται για αρχαία ευρήματα, και οι δύο μελέτες θα πρέπει να εγκριθούν προηγουμένως από το ΚΑΣ. Διαφορετικά το έργο δεν μπορεί να ξεκινήσει.</p> <p>Επειδή η διαδικασία θα απαιτήσει κάποιο χρονικό διάστημα, θα θέλαμε να γνωρίζουμε αν αυτός ο χρόνος θα "μετράει" μέσα στους 24 μήνες που προβλέπονται για την υλοποίηση του διασυνοριακού προγράμματος.</p> <p>Παρακαλούμε για τις οδηγίες σας σχετικά.</p> <p>Για την Εφορεία Αρχαιοτήτων Αχαΐας Με εκτίμηση,</p> <p>Έλενα Παρτίδα Δρ Αρχαιολόγος</p>	<p>As it is described in the "<i>project selection criteria</i>" document (B. 2.3.2. <i>How ready is the project (in which stage of completion are the administrative procedures that allow the implementation of the project (licenses, designs, permits, land acquisition etc.)</i> the readiness of a proposal is part of the quality assesment (2nd phase of evaluation).</p> <p>Additionally, the project duration is 24 months and the start date of the project is considered the date of subsidy contract.</p>
71	29-Σεπ	Partner eligibility	<p>Italian public authorities or bodies/institutions governed by public law located outside of the eligible area but which are competent in their scope of action for certain parts of the eligible area (e.g.: ministries, central institutions, etc.) can be considered eligible partners for Interreg V-A Greece-Italy 2014-2020 Programme?</p>	<p>As it is forseen in art 6 and 7 of the 1st Call for ordinary project proposals, national authorities don't' need to have a branch office in the programme area in order to participate in a project proposal.</p> <p>Each applicant participates in a Project proposal on its own account. In any case, the eligibility check of a potential Partner is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. In the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals you may find further details on eligibility of Partners and pre-conditions they must satisfy in order to be eligible. It is up to your entity to determine its legal status and see if it falls under categories a,b,c or d.</p>

72	29-Σεπ	Miscellaneous	<p>I am contacting you in order to request some clarification regarding the 1st Call For Ordinary Projet Proposals Interreg V/A Greece-Italy 2014-2020. Please find the requests below:</p> <p>1- If some activites are carried out starting from the date of submission of the proposal to the starting date of the project (until the signature of the subsidy contract), and they regard tasks about the technical assistance for partnership relationships, partner meetings, contractual issues etc., shall these activities be included in preparation activities (WP1.X.1) or in other coordination and management activities of WP1?</p> <p>2- If some activities are implemented in the Programme Area and their cost is also sustained in the Programme Area, but they are strongly preparatory to activities located outside the Programme Area (i.e. regarding printing materials to be disseminated outside, the external expertise in charge to manage activities to be carried outside the Programme Area), should their costs be foreseen in WP6?</p> <p>3- In the User guide for completing the electronic application form it is strongly advised to take into consideration the intervention logic of the programme. Considering this document, the "Number of enterprises in NACE sections J and M" (code R0502I is the only indicator for Specific Objective 1.2 "Supporting the incubation of innovative specialized micro and small enterprises in thematic sectors of interest to the Programme Area". Can you confirm a project proposal with this specific objective will regard, as result indicator, only the enterprises in NACE sections J and M? Or is it possible to refer to other result indicators or other NACE sections?</p> <p>4- A potential partnership includes a Municipality as Lead Beneficiary and an Union of Municipalities as one of the Final Beneficiaries . Both are public bodies, but the Municipality is also member of the Union. Are they eligible?</p> <p>5- In the procedure of creation of a new proposal into the MIS, for each Final Beneficiary is requested to complete the fields “Project Manager” and “Financial Manager”. Is it compulsory to complete these fields at this stage? Or is it possible to update the data later, indicating two internal experts and, in case of submission of the project, to proceed at identifying one or two external experts?</p> <p>6- In order to submit a project proposal a Lead Beneficiary needs to request a body code and an user account for the MIS platform. At the same time each Final Beneficiary (partner) has to request a body code.</p> <p>Is it also compulsory to request an user account for each Final Beneficiary (partner) before the deadline of submission of the proposal?</p> <p>The application procedure foresees the online submission of each document. Is it necessary and sufficient to digitally sign all the documentation (application form, JoB, attachments etc.)?</p>	<p>1. Activities carried out starting from the date of submission of the proposal to the starting date of the project (until the signature of the subsidy contract) can be considered as preparation activities, provided that conditions stipulated in chapter 2.1.6.1.1. <i>Preparation Costs</i> of the <i>programme and project manual</i> are fulfilled.</p> <p>2. It is proposed that, If the costs relate exclusively to activities to be implemented outside the program area, then they should be included in WP6. If the costs relate to activitiress to be implemented in and outside the program area a proportional distribution must be followed.</p> <p>3. According to <i>Project Selection Criteria</i> document there is a specific criterion <i>B.1.1.1 Does the proposal contribute significantly to the achievement of the specific objectives of the investment priorities and the corresponding thematic objectives?</i> relevant to the impact of the proposal on this indicator. This criterion is part of the second phase of evaluation (Phase B project quality). The indicator "Number of enterprises in NACE sections J and M" of the Specific Objective 1.2 is clear and emphatic.</p> <p>4. If it concerns discrete organizations with separate entiy/legal status and meet all other requirements of the call they may be eligible beneficiaries</p> <p>5. It is compulsory to complete the required fields. During the implementation phase there is a specific procedure for project modofications which is described in the "<i>programme and project manual</i>".</p> <p>6. A new version of the <i>User guide for completing the electronic application form</i> document has been uploaded with info about the procedure of receiving codes.</p>
73	29-Σεπ	Partner eligibility	<p>Στο πλαίσιο προετοιμασίας Υποβολής Προτάσεων κατά την 1η Πρόσκληση Υποβολής Προτάσεων του Ελλάδα-Ιταλία 2014-2020, θα θέλαμε να σας ρωτήσουμε εάν η καθολική εκκλησία της Ιταλίας Ente Ecclesiastico Civilmente Riconosciuto η οποία εδρεύει στη Ρώμη και η Ελληνική Ορθόδοξη Αρχιεπισκοπή Ιταλίας η οποία εδρεύει στη Βενετία, μπορούν να είναι επιλέξιμοι εταίροι, δεδομένου ότι και οι δύο συγκεκριμένοι φορείς έχουν εποπτεία σε όλη την επικράτεια, εκπροσωπώντας τους καθολικούς και ορθόδοξους πιστούς της Ιταλίας.</p>	<p>Each applicant participates in a Project proposal on its own account. In any case, the eligibility check of a potential Partner is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. In the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals you may find further details on eligibility of Partners and pre-conditions they must satisfy in order to be eligible. It is up to your entity to determine its legal status and see if it falls under categories a,b,c or d.</p>
74	30-Σεπ	Call Documents	<p>This is a question about preparation costs.</p> <p>About it I cite the Programme and Project Manual (Paragraph 2.1.6.1.1.)</p> <p>“Costs within the budget lines “staff costs, travel and accommodation costs and external expertise and services”, which have been incurred for the preparation of the project, are eligible for funding in accordance to the following conditions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• ☐If the services were invoiced or activities were implemented according to the national legislations, as of 1 January 2014. The related payments should have been actually made after 1st of January 2014 and/or during the implementation of the project. The related payments should have been actually made preferably in the first request for verification;</li><li>• ☐If they show direct connection to the approved project and are included in the application form.;</li><li>• ☐If they do not exceed the amount of 40.000€ at project level.</li></ul> <p>During the infoday in Bari, last 27th September, we’ve spoken about the payment of an external expertise about preparation cost. About it the question is the following:</p> <p>Is it compulsory an invoice of external expertise to be attached to the final project proposal? If it’s, so, considering that in many cases, the payment will be done only if the project will be approved, it’s possible replace the invoice with a signed agreement between the proposer and the external expertise, reporting the formal commitment about the payment of preparation activity?</p>	<p>According to the terms of references of the <i>1st call for ordinary project proposals</i> , no extra document is required during the submission of the proposal, apart from these, that are clearly indicated in the call. If the project will be approved, the payment of preparational cost activities regards the implementation phase of the project and have to be included in the 1st progress report of the project.</p>
75	30-Σεπ	Budget Financing	<p>Following the “Info Day of Bari” of 27 September, as you have shown me on this occasion, I repeat my question by e-mail:</p> <p>Can the costs of project preparation be invoiced and paid in the time between the communication of the financing granted and the subsequent signing of the contract?</p> <p>In fact, rereading the “Programme and Project Manual (version 1.0)”, page 58, I understand that, yes, it's possible.</p> <p>I quote:</p> <p>“2.1.6.1.1. Preparation Costs</p> <p>Costs within the budget lines “staff costs, travel and accommodation costs and external expertise and services”, which have been incurred for the preparation of the project, are eligible for funding in accordance to the following conditions:</p> <p>- If the services were invoiced or activities were implemented according to the national legislations, as of 1 January 2014. The related payments should have been actually made after 1st of January 2014 and/or during the implementation of the project. The related payments should have been actually made preferably in the first request for verification”</p> <p>&amp; You confirmed that it is possible?</p>	<p>Yes, it is possible.</p>

76	30-Σεπ	Partner eligibility	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>I'm writing about the Lead Beneficiary eligibility criteria, I would like some clarifications on these following points:</p> <p>- on the basis of what stated in the guidelines (pag. 44) if a beneficiary is located outside the Programme area and as a local branch office established in the programme area it will receive full ERDF funding. The National Research Council of Italy (CNR - <a href="http://www.cnr.it">www.cnr.it</a>) is located in Rome , but one of its research institutes, IRSA is located in Bari. Could IRSA be an eligible beneficiary of the programme?</p> <p>- If it is so, on the basis of the rule: "each applicant can participate as Lead Beneficiary in no more than one project proposal per Specific Objective", if IRSA is the Lead Beneficiary, should this rule be applied to IRSA or to the entire CNR?</p> <p>Thank you for your kind attention, best regards, Monica</p>	<p>1) As it is foreseen in art 6 and 7 of the 1st Call for ordinary project proposals, national authorities don't need to have a branch office in the programme area in order to participate in a project proposal. Each applicant participates in a Project proposal on its own account. In any case, the eligibility check of a potential Partner is part of the 1st Phase of Evaluation. In the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals you may find further details on eligibility of Partners and pre-conditions they must satisfy in order to be eligible. It is up to your entity to determine its legal status and see if it falls under categories a,b,c or d.</p> <p>2) Body entities under category b and c should prove the existence of branch in programme area.</p>
77	30-Σεπ	Call Documents	<p>this is a kind request for clarification concerning the Interreg V-A "Greece-Italy 2014-2020" 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals</p> <p>Could you please specify if Associated Partners are required to submit supporting documents as the Project Beneficiaries?</p> <p>In this case, could you please list which declarations and documents must be provided by Associated Partners?</p>	<p>An Associated partner has to submit the following:</p> <p>A. document 1. 'Partnership Declaration' and</p> <p>B. document 8. 'Decision of the designated body..' as described in detail in Art. 7 "How to apply -deadline" of the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project proposals, as well as</p> <p>C. All official documents providing evidence that the general eligibility requirements are met, as described in the same Art. 7 "How to apply -deadline".</p> <p>Note that for associated partners belonging to category 6c. the "Official document indicating the sources of the body's revenues, during the last two years preceding the presentation of the project proposal" is not needed.</p>
78	2-Οκτ	Partner eligibility	<p>University of Thessaly, as a body governed by public law, wishes to submit proposals at the 1st CALL FOR ORDINARY PROJECT PROPOSALS Cooperation Programme Interreg V / A Greece-Italy (EL-IT) 2014-2020 Co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF).</p> <p>1) Can Thessaly University participate as Lead beneficiary in this call?</p> <p>2) If University of Thessaly can participate as Lead beneficiary, is it possible two different departments of the University of Thessaly (eg: Faculty of Veterinary Science , and Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology) participate as Lead beneficiaries in two different proposals but at the same specific objective?</p> <p>(Each applicant can participate as Lead beneficiary in no more than one project proposal per specific objective. If an applicant does not conform to the previous rule as explicitly stated above, all of its project applications will be rejected. (page 6 of 13)).</p> <p>In other respective INTERREG Calls (eg:2nd call GREECE-ALBANIA 2014-2020) the limitation on the number of proposals in institutions such as Universities, is examined at the Department level.</p> <p>(Each applicant can participate as Lead beneficiary in no more than two (2)project proposals. In case of universities and research centres the above mentioned limitation is considered per department. If an applicant does not conform to the previous rule as explicitly stated above, all of its project proposals will be rejected. (page 9 of 15))</p>	<p>1) Since the location of the University is outside the eligible area, the body cannot participate as lead beneficiary.</p> <p>2) According to the terms of references of the call, the university of Thessaly can participate only as associated beneficiary.</p>
79	3-Οκτ	Project ideas	<p>Does the term "infrastructure" that permits for higher budget proposals apply to the following general example ?</p> <p>Example "crude fictitious proposal":</p> <p>"Purpose": to establish an "environmental observatory" for examining pollutants on the soil air etc.</p> <p>The "proposal" requests the purchase of high cost precision instruments, engines and communicators at the range of 1.6 million euro in both Italy and Greece installations.</p> <p>The "building" and the road works are of no cost (given by the ...Mayor). Only the instruments and the necessary workers for the lifespan of project. After the end the observatory will be sustained by third parties.</p> <p>As it is clearly shown the cost bearer are the devices and exceed the "normal" category of proposals that demands upper limit of 1 million euros for all the WPs.</p> <p>Question: Can such a proposal be considered as an "infrastructure" one?</p> <p>Please respond ASAP thus we and our Italian partners will not proceed into an application that will be rejected instantly as not eligible for "infrastructure".</p> <p>PS. I have read answer of FAQs#1 Q.16 but could not form a clear understanding.</p>	<p>The term "infrastructure" is clear and mainly focus on material activities (both in terms of WPs/Deliverables and budget) such as the construction or renovation of a building, equipment etc.</p>
80	3-Οκτ	Call Documents	<p>I would like to ask you what kind of documents should be submitted from an associated partner? Is there any templates for the associated partners available?</p> <p>I couldn't find any info in the programme's/project's manual.</p> <p>Thank you in advance.</p>	<p>An Associated partner has to submit the following:</p> <p>A. document 1. 'Partnership Declaration' and</p> <p>B. document 8. 'Decision of the designated body..' as described in detail in Art. 7 "How to apply -deadline" of the approved 1st Call for Ordinary Project proposals, as well as</p> <p>C. All official documents providing evidence that the general eligibility requirements are met, as described in the same Art. 7 "How to apply -deadline".</p> <p>Note that for associated partners belonging to category 6c. the "Official document indicating the sources of the body's revenues, during the last two years preceding the presentation of the project proposal" is not needed.</p>
81	3-Οκτ	Budget Financing	<p>regarding our previous question here below, we found answer in the third set of FAQ published in the Interreg Greece-Italy website.</p> <p>We would like to add a further question related to associated partners:</p> <p>&amp; What costs can be paid for Associated Partners by the associated Project Beneficiary? in which budget line should be charged the costs for the Associated partners?</p>	<p>All costs related to travel and accommodation of the associated partner will be included in the budget of any other project beneficiary of the partnership</p>
82	4-Οκτ	Partner eligibility	<p>Dear Managing Authority of European Cooperation Programmes - Interreg Greece-Italy,</p> <p>I would like to ask a question regarding the Associated Partners of the 1st call of the Cooperation Programme Interreg V/A Greece - Italy (EL-IT) 2014-2020.</p> <p>The Associated Partner must be located outside the eligible area or could be located also inside the eligible area? I would like to specify that in our case the Associated will generate good practices to the project we would like to realize.</p>	<p>The location of an associated beneficiary could be anywhere in the world and its role could be for example, good practice, public policy, transfer of knowledge etc.</p>

83	4-Okt	Call Documents	<p>Dear Sirs,</p> <p>referring to “8. Decision of the designated body of each Project Beneficiary”, we kindly ask if it is required to submit this document in application phase or if it is required in case of project approval for funding by MC.</p> <p>In both cases, we would issue a Rector’s Decree to be ratified by Academic Senate of this University, according to Politecnico’s statute.</p> <p>We will be waiting for your reply.</p> <p>Thank you and kind regards</p> <p>Rocco Paradiso</p> <p>POLITECNICO DI BARI</p>	<p>To the extent that is possible, it is recommended to upload it as attached in your proposal, otherwise you will receive in time a message from the MA/JS</p>
84	5-Okt	Call Documents	<p>Dear Sir, dear Madame,</p> <p>Can you kindly provide your feedback?</p> <p>Thanks</p> <p>Laura Ridolfi</p> <p>Il 03/10/2016 13:18, Laura Ridolfi ha scritto:</p> <p>Dear Sir, dear Madame,</p> <p>with reference to the budget form we found out that the formula for the management staff cost for the Lead Partner is 10% and not 15% as stated in the programme.</p> <p>Moreover on the sheet Budget check on table 3 line "staff cost calculation method" and "O&amp;A costs method of calculation"; and on table 4 "limit of O&amp;A"expenditure for beneficiary there is the writing #RIF!!! and I do not understand why! There are no red cells.</p> <p>Please advice.</p> <p>Awaiting your kind reply, best regards</p> <p>Laura Ridolfi</p>	<p>A new version of B5 - JUSTIFICATION OF BUDGET COST document is elaborated and its going to be uploaded soon.</p>
85	5-Okt		<p>Please clarify the status of the staff of universities under payroll (i.e. professors) in the budget specification. Do we place the staff costs under the category salaries of public employees or salaries of local private employees?</p>	<p>Each applicant must decide which is the staff status of its body taking into account the statute and the payroll of the body.</p>
86	6-Okt	MIS issues	<p>this is a kind request for clarification concerning the MIS platform:</p> <p>1) Section D. Budget:</p> <p>We would kindly ask you to explain how to fill in these data.</p> <p>In particular, could you please confirm if the "Total Public Funding" shall consider both the ERDF and the National Public Co-financing or only the National Public Co-financing?</p> <p>The "Total Public Funding" shall be equal to the "Approved Public Funding", or otherwise could you please specify how to calculate the "Approved Public Funding"?</p> <p>2) Section C. Partnership:</p> <p>- Please refer to the screenshot below: when adding the Body Code of a partner institution (90100308 - MUNICIPALITY OF UGENTO), the MIS does not display the Beneficiary Details. Could you please help in fixing this bug?</p> <p>- Concerning contact persons, project manager and financial manager: are we required to fill in only the names or the e-mail addresses or both?</p> <p>- Concerning the field "Legal status", could you please specify what does the number "8" refers to?</p> <p>3) Section "Attachments":</p> <p>- When selecting the language of attachments, we only can choose between Greek or English, but not Italian. According to the Call for Proposal, some supporting documents may be submitted in national language. How can we declare this when uploading an attachment?</p> <p>- Templates B2, B4 (if applicable for private) and B7 must be dated, stamped and signed by each partner.</p> <p>Shall we upload a single file for each template and for each partner or can we produce a unique pdf for type of declaration (e.g. a unique pdf for template B2 containing all the declarations from each partner).</p>	<p>1) Please follow the guidelines of the updated version of the <i>User guide for completing the electronic application form</i> documents that has been uploaded on the programme site.</p> <p>2) Yes, by pressing the symbol "i" a screen is appeared with data retrieved from the core of MIS. It is compulsory to fill in all the required fields (names, emails etc)</p> <p>3) You can keep the english language but you can upload the supporting documents as a pdf file in Italian language.</p> <p>4) The LB must be responsible to collect and produce a pdf file with all necessary signatures-stamps</p>
87	10-Okt	Partner Eligibility	<p>To all it may concerns,</p> <p>I have a FAQ for the 1st Call for Ordinary Project Proposals of the Greece-Italy Programme</p> <p>Question type: Partner Eligibility</p> <p>Question text: I would like to know if it is possible for a Department and for the whole University submit different project proposals in the same Specific Objective.</p> <p>Thank you in advanced for your kind reply.</p>	<p>In case of universities and research institutes the limitation set in Article 6 of the 1st Call for Ordinary Proposals, that each applicant can participate as Lead beneficiary in no more than one project proposal per specific objective, is considered per specific objective and per department.</p>
88	19-Okt	Budget Financing &	<p>Dear all,</p> <p>When I add a new partner at the partnership of an application form of a new proposal, I have to complete a field named “National Counterpart Type”. This field has two options: National Public Funding or National Private Funding.</p> <p>I would like to ask you, if any rule is applied for each type (or country) of beneficiary in order to identify the type of its National Counterpart.</p>	<p>As it is stated in chapter 1.2.2. <i>Joint implementation structure and division of tasks between the different bodies of the programme and project manual</i> (page 28) "...The Greek national contribution is transferred from the Ministry of Economy, Infrastructure, Shipping and Tourism to the beneficiaries.</p> <p><i>As far as the Italian co-financing is concerned, the latter is granted according to the Italian Law and in compliance with the national mechanisms and procedures. The Regione Puglia - Mediterranean Department transfers to the Italian public beneficiaries only the due amount of national co-financing (15% of the total project's expenditure) Italian private beneficiaries cannot benefit from national co-financing (15%) and to finance their participation to projects' implementation use their own resources. ..."</i></p>

89	6-Okt	Call Documents	<p>following our previous question and your clarification provided in the thrid set of FAQ, we would kindly ask to clarify if Associated Partners are required to submit the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- B4 Declaration of non-distribution of profits</li><li>- Documents proving the existence of a branch within the programme area</li></ul>	<p>Associated partners since they don't receive ERDF funding, they don't need to submit neither the <i>B4 Declaration of non-distribution of profits</i> nor any other document proving the existence of a branch within the programme area</p>
----	-------	----------------	---	---